

School Grade Report Card

Certified

Public Academy for Performing Arts Charter



Final Grade

District: Albuquerque Public Schools This School 06 - 12 Code: 1047 Statewide C Benchmark Grade Range: School Possible Points Grade Points **Current Standing** How did students perform in the most recent school year? Students 30 С 13.69 12.5 are tested on how well they met targets for their grade level. **School Growth** In the past 3 years, did the school as a whole increase performance? 10 С 5.83 For example, did a schoolwide reading program advance reading 5.8 scores over the prior years? **Student Growth of Highest Performing Students** How well did the school help individual students improve? The highest performing students are those whose prior scores placed В 10 4.86 them in the top three quarters (75%) of their school. Individual 3.6 student growth over the past 3 years is compared to the state benchmark. **Student Growth of Lowest Performing Students** How well did the school help individual students improve? The lowest performing students are those whose prior scores placed them in the 10 D 6.26 bottom quarter (25%) of their school. Individual student growth over the past 3 years is compared to the state benchmark. **Opportunity to Learn** Does the school foster an environment that facilitates learning? Are С 8 6.36 teachers using recognized instructional methods, and do students want 6.0 to come to school? Graduation How does the school contribute to on-time graduation? On-time means В 17 14.48 within 4 years, and, to a lesser extent, within 5 and 6 years for students 12.8 who require longer. **College and Career Readiness** Are students prepared for what lies ahead after high school? Schools 15 Α 13.24 receive credit when students participate in college entrance exams and 9.0 coursework leading to dual credit and vocational certification. The school receives additional credit when students meet success goals. **Bonus Points** 5 Does the school show exceptional aptitude for involving students and 4.69 1.6 parents in education, reducing truancy, and promoting extracurricular activities? Total 3-Year **Final School Grade** Points 100 75.0 to < 100.0 Α Average **Overall Points** 75 65.0 to < 75.0 В 69.41 50 С 50.0 to < 65.0 75.6 D 35.0 to < 50.0 25 F 0.0 to < 35.0 0 2013 2014 2015

Details of Each Grade Indicator

These next pages show the school's results divided into smaller groups to show how specific classes of students are doing. The information explains how a school compares to other schools, and identifies groups within the school that are performing well or that need additional instructional support based on achievement. Points that the school earned on each of the indicators are provided in more detail, and when summed will arrive at the totals on the first page summary.

Current

Knowing how many students are proficient in a given year is a measure of the school's overall success. Single-year performance will vary with differing classes of students. Therefore, Current Standing uses up to 3 years of data to provide a more accurate Standing picture of the school's achievement. Current Standing is augmented with Value Added Modeling (VAM) by capturing the school's size, student mobility, and prior student performance. Details of VAM can be found in the PED's School Grading Technical Guide at: http://webapp2.ped.state.nm.us/SchoolData/SchoolGrading.aspx.

	All Students	Ge F	nder M	White	Race Afr Amer	e / Ethni Hisp	city Asian	Am Indian	Economically Disadvantaged	Students with Disabilities	English Language Learners
Reading Proficient and Advanced (%) Proficient and Advanced (Pts) Value Added Model (Pts)	33.6 1.68 6.68	35.1	30.9	45.1	27.3	26.9	60.0	<2.0	24.2	7.1	<2.0
Math											
Proficient and Advanced (%)	17.9	17.2	19.1	22.8	<2.0	16.1	20.0	<2.0	13.6	2.4	<2.0
Proficient and Advanced (Pts)	0.89										
Value Added Model (Pts)	4.42										



School												
301001		0	•	s the students accounts for			•			• •	ears. Unlike Cu	urrent
Growth	prior	student per	forman	calculated wi ice. Details o te.nm.us/Sch	f VAM can	be found in	the PED's So					: mobility, and
				Reading	Math	1 Schoo	l growth is e	xpressed	as a score	that can b	e both negativ	ve and
	Va	alue Added S		0.546	-0.10							was expected
		Points Ea	rned	3.54	2.30		re to its peer mance.	's with the	same size	, mobility,	and prior stu	dent
Growth	•	are below classmates Near 0 me performed growth).	the pro 5. ans tha I better	oficiency line, at the group s than anticipa	because th cored abou ated (positi	ney are closi ut as expect ive growth),	ing the achie	evement g d to their a	ap and cat academic p	ching up to beers. Whi	ng when stude o their higher- ile some stude nat did poorer	-performing ents may have
	http:	//webapp2.	t growt ped.stat	h and value a te.nm.us/Sch	dded score	es are explai	ined in PED's	s School G	rading Tec	hnical Gui		d to their d for the schoo
	http:	ils of studen //webapp2. all and for th	t growt ped.stat	h and value a te.nm.us/Sch	dded score	es are explai	ined in PED's g.aspx. Note	s School G e that sep	rading Tec arate analy	hnical Gui	de at iques are usec	l for the schoo
	http:	ils of studen //webapp2.	t growt ped.stat	h and value a te.nm.us/Sch roups.	dded score oolData/Sc	es are explai	ined in PED's	s School G e that sep	rading Tec arate analy	hnical Gui	de at	
Reading Gro	http: over	ils of studen //webapp2. _J all and for th School	t growt ped.stat e subgr	h and value a te.nm.us/Sch roups.	dded score oolData/Sc	es are explai choolGradin African	ined in PED's g.aspx. Note Subgrou	s School G e that sep up Anal	rading Tec arate analy ySiS Am	hnical Gui /tic techn Econ	de at iques are used Students with	d for the schoo English Language
Reading Gro	http: over owth	ils of studen //webapp2. _J all and for th School	t growt ped.stat e subgr	h and value a te.nm.us/Sch roups.	dded score oolData/Sc	es are explai choolGradin African	ined in PED's g.aspx. Note Subgrou	s School G e that sep up Anal	rading Tec arate analy ySiS Am	hnical Gui /tic techn Econ	de at iques are used Students with	d for the schoo English Language
-	http: over owth (VAS)	ils of studen //webapp2.j all and for th School Overall	t growt oed.stai e subgr Femal	h and value a te.nm.us/Sch roups.	dded score oolData/Sc White	es are explai choolGradin African American	ined in PED's g.aspx. Note Subgrou Hispanic	s School G e that sept u p Anal Asian	rading Tec arate analy ysis Am Indian	hnical Gui /tic techn Econ Disadv	de at iques are used Students with Disabilities	d for the schoo English Language Learners
Highest 75% (http: over owth (VAS) (Pts) VAS)	ils of studen //webapp2. all and for th School Overall 0.28	t growt oed.stai e subgr Femal	h and value a te.nm.us/Sch roups. le Male	dded score oolData/Sc White	es are explai choolGradin African American	ined in PED's g.aspx. Note Subgrou Hispanic	s School G e that sept u p Anal Asian	rading Tec arate analy ysis Am Indian	hnical Gui /tic techn Econ Disadv	de at iques are used Students with Disabilities	d for the schoo English Language Learners
Highest 75% (Highest 75% (Lowest 25% (http: over: owth (VAS) (Pts) VAS) Pts)	ils of studen //webapp2.p all and for th School Overall 0.28 3.05 0.54	t growt oed.stat e subgr Femal -0.02	h and value a te.nm.us/Sch roups. le Male	White	African American -0.38	ined in PED's g.aspx. Note Subgrou Hispanic -0.11	s School G e that sept up Anal Asian -0.81	rading Tec arate analy ysis Am Indian -0.21	hnical Gui /tic techn Econ Disadv -0.13	de at iques are used Students with Disabilities 0.07	d for the schoo English Language Learners -1.14
Highest 75% (Highest 75% (Lowest 25% (Lowest 25% (Math Grow	http: over owth (VAS) (Pts) VAS) Pts) th	ils of studen //webapp2.p all and for th School Overall 0.28 3.05 0.54	t growt oed.stat e subgr Femal -0.02	h and value a te.nm.us/Sch roups. le Male 2 -0.12 2 -0.03	White	African American -0.38	ined in PED's g.aspx. Note Subgrou Hispanic -0.11	s School G e that sept up Anal Asian -0.81	rading Tec arate analy ysis Am Indian -0.21	hnical Gui /tic techn Econ Disadv -0.13	de at iques are used Students with Disabilities 0.07	d for the schoo English Language Learners -1.14
Highest 75% (Highest 75% (Lowest 25% (Lowest 25% (http: over owth (VAS) (Pts) VAS) Pts) th (VAS)	ils of studen //webapp2. all and for th School Overall 0.28 3.05 0.54 3.52	t growt ped.stat e subgr Femal -0.02 -0.12	h and value a te.nm.us/Sch roups. le Male 2 -0.12 2 -0.03	White 0.10 0.06	African American -0.38 -0.19	ined in PED's g.aspx. Note Subgrou Hispanic -0.11 -0.11	School G e that sept up Anal Asian -0.81 -0.08	rading Tec arate analy ysis Am Indian -0.21 -0.91	hnical Gui /tic techn Disadv -0.13 -0.08	de at iques are used Students with Disabilities 0.07 -0.02	d for the schoo English Language Learners -1.14 -0.10
Highest 75% (Highest 75% (Lowest 25% (Lowest 25% (Math Grow Highest 75% (http: over owth (VAS) (Pts) VAS) Pts) th (VAS) (Pts) VAS)	ils of studen //webapp2. all and for th School Overall 0.28 3.05 0.54 3.52 -0.35	t growt ped.stat e subgr Femal -0.02 -0.12	h and value a te.nm.us/Sch roups. le Male 2 -0.12 2 -0.03	White 0.10 0.06	African American -0.38 -0.19	ined in PED's g.aspx. Note Subgrou Hispanic -0.11 -0.11	School G e that sept up Anal Asian -0.81 -0.08	rading Tec arate analy ysis Am Indian -0.21 -0.91	hnical Gui /tic techn Disadv -0.13 -0.08	de at iques are used Students with Disabilities 0.07 -0.02	d for the schoo English Language Learners -1.14 -0.10

Opportunity

to

The successful school invites students to be part of a thriving learning culture that uses proven teaching methods. A school's learning environment is reflected in a survey of classroom practices and in student attendance.

Learn (OTL)	ing crivir	onnentis	reneeted		veyore	10551 0011	i pructic		ii stuuci	it attern	dunce.		
		:	All Students	Gend F	ler M	White	Race / Afr Amer	Ethnicit Hisp	y Asian	Am Indian	Economically Disadvantaged	Students with Disabilities	English Language Learners
		(Average) e (Points)	96.1 3.04	96.0	96.4	96.0	-	96.1	-	-	95.5	95.2	-
Survey (Average) Survey (Points) Count of Surveys (N)	29.9 3.3 611	yielding a new lesso	ints) 3.04 veys consisted of ten questions with answers from 0 (Never) to 5 (Always), ding a maximum score of 50. A typical question includes "My teacher introduces a v lesson by reminding us of things we already know." Schools that scored higher nonstrated better classroom teaching practices.										ing ^{30.9} ath ^{29.0} eral NA

Graduation

Students are expected to graduate in 4 years. However, some students require longer and are captured in 5-year and 6-year rates. Similar to school and student growth, the expectation is that the school increase the percent of successful 4-year graduates over time. SAM (Supplemental Accountability Model) schools are a subset of schools that target returning dropouts or students with disabilities. These schools receive an additional rate that reflects their ability to graduate any student, not just cohort members, in a given year. Details of the federally approved graduation rules are in the Graduation Technical Manual on the PED website at: http://ped.state.nm.us/Graduation/index.html.

		Gend	der		F	ace / Eth	nicity			Students	English
	All Students	F	М	White	Afr Ame	r Hisp	Asian	Am Indian	Economically Disadvantaged	with Disabilities	Language Learners
Cohort of 2014 - 4-Year Rate	•										
Cohort Graduation (%) Non-Cohort Graduation (%)	87.5 -	87.0	88.0	74.8	-	94.4	-	-	97.1	-	-
SAM Adjustment (Weighted %)					Thi	s school	did not	qualify to b	e a SAM sch	ool.	
Points Earned	7.00										
Cohort of 2013 - 5-Year Rate	•										
Graduation (%)	83.4	87.4	74.4	84.9	-	81.2	-	-	68.1	-	-
Points Earned	2.5										
Cohort of 2012 - 6-Year Rate	?										
Graduation (%)	86.8	87.4	85.1	84.5	-	86.5	-	-	74.1	-	-
Points Earned	1.7										
Growth in 4-Year Rates		_									
Growth takes into account three ye graduation rates.	ars of		th Index Earned	.96 3.24							

College
andHigh school students are expected to participate in at least one college or career readiness program. These activities include
one of the following:
1) College entrance assessments (SAT, SAT Subject Test, PSAT, ACT, PLAN, Compass, or Accuplacer)
2) Evidence that the student can pass a college-level course (Advanced Placement, Dual Credit, or IB)
3) Eligibility for an industry recognized certification (Career Technical Education, SAM School Supplemental)
Points are given separately for students' participation and for their success. To be considered successful, students must meet
established benchmarks. Details are in the School Grading Technical Guide on the PED website at
http://webapp2.ped.state.nm.us/SchoolData/SchoolGrading.aspx.

CCR follows the Shared Accountability model used for cohort graduation rates. Cohorts are fully described in the Graduation Technical Manual on the PED website at http://ped.state.nm.us/Graduation/index.html.

50% or Higher			Gen	der		Race	/ Ethnicit	:y			Students	English
20% -50% Below 20%		All Students	F	М	White	Afr Amer	Hisp	Asian	Am Indian	Economically Disadvantaged	with Disabilities	Language
Participation (% of Coh	ort)	86	86	85	81	100	89	-	50	92	80	0
Participation (Pts)		4.29										
Success (% of Participa	nts)	89	84	95	94	67	90	-	100	86	72	-
Success (Pts)		8.95										
Percent of School's C	Cohort o	f 2014										
Participating	ACT	50.2			47.4	cc 7	10.4		50.0	50.4	477	12.0
in Each	ACT	50.2	57.7	41.4	47.4	66.7	49.4	-	50.0	58.1	17.7	<2.0
CCR Opportunity	PLAN	20.5	13.5	28.7	27.4	<2.0	21.5	-	<2.0	23.5	<2.0	<2.0
	SAT PSAT	2.4	<2.0	5.2	9.1 45.2	<2.0 33.3	<2.0	-	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
٨	cuPlacer	43.6 23.0	42.9 23.1	44.3 22.8	45.2 14.9	33.3	47.5 27.0	-	<2.0 <2.0	55.6 27.2	39.9 <2.0	<2.0
Advanced Pla		25.0	23.1 17.4	22.8 34.2	14.9 18.3	33.3	27.0	-	<2.0 <2.0	16.9	<2.0 <2.0	<2.0
	al Credit	36.4	32.1	54.2 41.4	41.7	33.3	37.3	-	<2.0	22.1	<2.0 17.7	<2.0
International Baccal		<2.0	<2.0	<2.0		<2.0						<2.0
				-	<2.0		<2.0	-	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	
Career Technical Ed		22.9	11.8	35.9	26.9	<2.0	25.7	-	<2.0	14.0	22.2	<2.0
	compass	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0		<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
SAT Subj		<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	-	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
SAM School Supple	emental	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	-	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0

New Mexico School Grading 2015

Bonus Points

While most schools provide a sampling of athletics, club participation opportunities, and parent meetings, a few schools stand out among the rest. These schools are recognized for their extraordinary dedication to keeping students invested in school and their efforts in empowering parents to engage actively in their child's education. Bonus points indicate those schools that have gone above and beyond the others.

		-		
npling	Student Engagement	Schools must include all of their enrolled students in the	Reading (%)	100
ngs, a e rest. their	Parental Engagement	annual statewide assessment. If the percentage of students is less than 95%, the school's	Math (%)	100
ing heir	Extracurricular Activities	letter grade is reduced by one grade. Supplemental Accountability Model (SAM)		
e those	✓ Truancy Improvement	schools and small schools with fewer than 100 students		
d	✓ Other	receive special consideration.		

Participation

Supplemental Information

Similar Schools

While statewide comparisons are helpful, schools may want to see how they rank next to their peers that have similar students and settings. The figures below show how this school contrasts with other schools in the state that are most like it in student characteristics.

Schools are grouped into categories that have similar proportions of English language learners (ELL), students with disabilities (SWD), ethnicities, economically disadvantaged (ED), and mobile students. Different schools are in each category set. A composite score incorporates all categories into a general measure of atrisk students.

Ranks High Ranks Mid						Schoo	l Ranl	‹				
Ranks Low	Ε	LL	SV	VD	Eth	nicity	E	Đ	Мо	bility	Сот	posite
Students (% Tested)	C).5	1	3.5	e	53.1	50).5	13	8.3		
	Rank	Total	Rank	Total	Rank	Total	Rank	Total	Rank	Total	Rank	Total
Current Standing	22	(34)	15	(36)	19	(36)	20	(35)	13	(36)	19	(35)
School Growth	17	(34)	16	(36)	17	(36)	16	(35)	15	(36)	17	(35)
Student Growth, Highest 75%	22	(34)	19	(36)	20	(36)	18	(35)	18	(36)	21	(35)
Student Growth, Lowest 25%	20	(34)	6	(36)	20	(36)	12	(35)	7	(36)	15	(35)
Opportunity to Learn	34	(34)	32	(36)	34	(36)	35	(36)	35	(36)	33	(36)
Graduation	11	(34)	10	(36)	11	(36)	11	(36)	8	(36)	14	(36)
College and Career Readiness	10	(34)	5	(36)	10	(36)	8	(36)	7	(36)	9	(36)

School Customized targets, called School Growth Targets (SGTs), guide a school's path toward proficiency. These goals increase every year and challenge schools to identify student groups that might be struggling to keep up with their peers.
 Targets

			All	Gen	der		Race Afr	/ Ethnio	ity	Am	Economically	Students with	English Language
		Target	Students	F	М	White	Amer	Hisp	Asian	Indian	Disadvantaged	Disabilities	Learners
Growth	Reading	.0038	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	N
Lowest 25% (Q1)) Math	0334	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	N
Growth	Reading	0481	Y	Y	N	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	N	Y	N
Highest 75% (Q3	9) Math	0613	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Y	N
Proficiency	Reading	33.3%	Y	Y	N	Y	Ν	N	Y	N	N	N	N
	Math	17.6%	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	N
Graduation	4-Year Cohort	75.6%	Y	Y	Y	N		Y			Y		

School History Student performance over time can demonstrate the success of interventions and school reform. Students who score proficient or higher are considered to be performing at grade level. For a more detailed history, see the NMPED website: http://www.ped.state.nm.us/AssessmentAccountability/AcademicGrowth/NMSBA.html.

			Ger	nder	er Race / Ethnicity						Students	English
		All Students	F	М	White	Afr Amer	Hisp	Asian	Am Indian	Economically Disadvantaged	with Disabilities	Language Learners
Reading	2015 (%)	33.6	35.1	30.9	45.1	27.3	26.9	60.0	<2.0	24.2	7.1	<2.0
Proficiency	2014 (%)	60.9	68.2	48.0	69.7	30.0	55.8			54.2	31.1	
	2013 (%)	58.6	62.1	52.5	60.2	57.1	57.9			54.0	27.3	
Math	2015 (%)	17.9	17.2	19.1	22.8	<2.0	16.1	20.0	<2.0	13.6	2.4	<2.0
Proficiency	2014 (%)	38.4	39.3	36.7	47.5	30.0	31.4			34.0	13.3	
	2013 (%)	39.9	37.4	44.4	44.9	35.7	36.8			31.0	18.2	

StudentsStudents who are prepared and progress to a higher grade each year (matriculate) indicate that the school is successfully moving
students toward graduation. However, if the school's achievement in reading and math is subpar and yet most students are
being promoted, the school may be inattentive to a student's need to repeat grade-level instruction before moving on.

ercent of students scoring eginning Step (lowest) in the rior year advancing to the All		Ge	ender		Race	e / Ethr	nicity			Students	English
prior year advancing to the	All Students	E	М		Afr			Am	Economically	with	Language
next grade .	students	-	IVI	White	Amer	Hisp	Asian	Indian	Disadvantaged	Disabilities	Learners
Grade 9 to Grade 10 (%)											
Grade 10 to Grade 11 (%)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grade 11 to Grade 12 (%)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

End Notes

1 The Statewide C grade was established in the first year of A-F School Grading as the midpoint of all schools. It was fixed in 2011 as the framework for all future letter grades and is not recalculated each year.

2 For high schools that do not have members of 4-year, 5-year, or 6-year graduation cohorts, the scale is abbreviated, and letter grades are adjusted to account for the school's remaining non-cohort indicators or non-cohort years.

3 A dash is substituted where a school has too few students (N<10) to meet confidentiality requirements for reporting.

4 Feeder schools are schools that do not have students in tested grades 3-11.

5 During the 2013-2014 and 2014-15 school years, schools across New Mexico conducted assessments on computers. To recognize these efforts, schools that offered the SBA on computers received bonus credit based on the number of students participating.